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A. ATTENDANCE AND ORGANIZATION OF WORK

Place and date of the meeting


Attendance

2. The meeting was attended by representatives of the following States members of the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Peru and the Plurinational State of Bolivia.

3. Participating in the meeting on behalf of the United Nations Secretariat were representatives of the Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States, and a representative of the Group of Landlocked Developing Countries.

4. Also attending were representatives of the following organizations of the United Nations system: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

5. Representatives of intergovernmental organizations and international cooperation agencies also attended the meeting.

Election of officers

6. The officer elected to preside over the meeting was as follows:

   Chair: Paraguay

B. AGENDA

7. The meeting adopted the following agenda: 2

   1. Election of officers and adoption of the agenda.
   2. Review of the implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action at the global, regional and national levels.
   3. New and emerging challenges facing landlocked developing countries in Latin America and international support measures.

1 For a list of participants, see [online] http://www.eclac.org/Transporte/noticias/noticias/2/51892/Participantes_LAC_Almaty2013.pdf.
2 LC/L.3723.
C. PROCEEDINGS

Opening session

8. At the inaugural session, statements were made by Federico González, Deputy Minister for Economic Affairs and Integration of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Paraguay; Antonio Prado, Deputy Executive Secretary of ECLAC, and Gyan Chandra Acharya, United Nations Under-Secretary-General and High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States.

9. The Deputy Minister for Economic Affairs and Integration of Paraguay welcomed the participants and said that the purpose of the meeting was to contribute, from a Latin American perspective, to revision of the Almaty Programme of Action, adopted in 2003. The documents that would serve as a basis for debate recognized that important headway had been made in the landlocked developing countries, yet acknowledged that major challenges lay ahead. Limited physical infrastructure, logistics failings and a lack of institutions and legislation were among the main obstacles to development in landlocked countries.

10. The Deputy Executive Secretary of the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) thanked the Government of Paraguay for its hospitality and support in the organization of the present meeting and referred to the close cooperation between ECLAC, the Governments and the Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States since the adoption of the Almaty Programme of Action in 2003. He said that the landlocked status of those countries, together with their remoteness and isolation from the main international markets, left them heavily dependent on transit countries for maritime trade, which had a direct impact on their economic growth. Without a coastline, they were unable to participate fully in international trade and their comparative advantages were accordingly diminished, prejudicing their ability to foster social development and environmental sustainability.

11. The Deputy Executive Secretary of ECLAC said that although Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia had made significant progress in various areas of development, in particular by improving their connectivity and customs procedures, challenges remained, in particular in the area of infrastructure. He listed various strategic steps that could be taken at the national and multilateral level, such as establishing macroeconomic stabilization mechanisms; promoting investment in infrastructure; encouraging greater production diversification; generating knowledge on logistics costs and their impact on development; addressing the shortfall in physical infrastructure investment; correcting operational inefficiencies in transport services; harmonizing technical standards with neighbouring countries; fostering tourism opportunities; and participating fully in the knowledge society.

12. The High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States thanked the Government of Paraguay for its support and highlighted the important role it had played since the first regional preparatory meeting on landlocked developing countries, held in Asunción in 2003. He also said that Paraguay had coordinated the Group of Landlocked Developing Countries in New York during 2010 and 2011 and that it was currently a member of the Bureau of the Group. He highlighted the ECLAC contribution to promoting implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action in the region. The present regional meeting represented a unique opportunity for landlocked developing countries to assess implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action and to define a new strategic framework for the following decade.
13. Lastly, the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States acknowledged that landlocked developing countries had very high expectations of the 2014 Conference. Developing countries expected that the new programme of action would be robust and would reflect the specific needs of landlocked countries. The Secretary-General of the United Nations was aware of those expectations and had pledged his every support to the Conference.

Review of the implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action at the global, regional and national levels (agenda item 2)

14. The first plenary session, entitled “Review of the implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action at the global, regional and national levels”, was moderated by Antonio Prado, Deputy Executive Secretary of ECLAC.

15. The Director of the Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States presented an overview of landlocked developing countries in other regions. She referred to four priority areas: fundamental transit policy issues; infrastructure development and maintenance; international trade and trade facilitation; and international support measures.

16. The Chief of the Infrastructure Services Unit of the Natural Resources and Infrastructure Division of ECLAC then presented an assessment of implementation of the Almaty Programme of Action at the regional level.

17. Next, the Head of Operator Services at the Vice-Ministry of Transport of the Plurinational State of Bolivia presented an up-to-date overview of that country in transport, export, import and logistics terms. He said that as a Member State of the United Nations, the Plurinational State of Bolivia shared the objectives of the Almaty Programme of Action, in particular, those relating to free and safe access from and to the sea and the policies for improving customs procedures, trade and transport.

18. He added that the Plurinational State of Bolivia shared the special needs of the other landlocked developing countries and that those needs should be addressed in a spirit of understanding and multilateral cooperation. The international commitment towards those countries would enhance their socioeconomic and human development, which was hampered by lack of access to the sea, isolation and high transport costs.

19. He then described the geographical situation of the country, including its numerous, extensive and diverse borders, and the topographical isolation it suffered owing to its unreliable and inadequate infrastructure; those same factors also severely constrained growth and development of the country’s productive sectors. Most exports were transported by road, making the international highways the most important exit routes for Bolivian products. He detailed the modes of transport used for imports and exports, and highlighted the country’s achievements in the area of transport, including the Project on the

---

3 The Plurinational State of Bolivia’s rail network is 3,697 kilometres long, and divided into two large sectors: the western and the eastern sectors. There are no connections between the two, which limits the use of rail as a transportation mode. Export products are primarily transported using biocoeanic corridors of ground transportation and international highways. Four of the country’s 14 airports are international: they are located in the cities of La Paz, Cochabamba, Santa Cruz and Tarija. All are managed by Bolivian Airport Services Company (SABSA). The country has 14,000 kilometres of navigable waterways, the most important of which is the Paraguay-Paraná Waterway.
Binational Border Service Centre (CEBAF) in Desaguadero (Peru), the strides made towards signature of
the supplementary protocol to the ILO agreements with Peru, and the Special Economic and Industrial
Free Trade Zones (ZOFIE).

20. His country was working on the final phase of a new general transport law. He mentioned the
land infrastructure investment projects, most of which focused on the main transport corridors, on
building railroads between Montero and Bulo Bulo, and investing in airports in different localities. He
said that the primary transport challenges in the Plurinational State of Bolivia were: (i) the unreliability
and inadequacy of its transport infrastructure compared with that of its neighbours and the corresponding
impact on the competitiveness of its export products; (ii) excessive bureaucracy at border crossing points;
and (iii) a lack of harmonization in neighbouring countries’ customs procedures.

21. Transport plans, programmes and projects in the Plurinational State of Bolivia included:
developing airport infrastructure by modernizing and equipping all airport terminals and training all staff;
building a national Mataucito-Mutún-Puerto Busch rail network, for mineral exportation; improving and
developing transport logistics infrastructure; and paving all primary and secondary networks, to include
highway maintenance programmes. He referred to plans to implement early warning systems and to the
risk management programme, air monitoring systems that would provide greater control and more
statistical data, establishing standards to regulate the authorization and renewal of ground transportation
permits and standards, the development of a national network and waterway, improving and maintaining
waterways, and setting up signalling systems on the waterways and at ports.

22. Lastly, he emphasized that cooperation with other countries was key to greater competitiveness.

23. The Coordinator of the National Logistics Plan and the Master Transport Plan of Paraguay
presented his country’s experiences and also referred to the regional dimension, connectivity and transport
policies, infrastructure development and maintenance, international trade and trade facilitation. He briefly
described the current international challenges, but said that trade within the Andean Community and the
Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR) was very limited in comparison with other trading blocs. There
was considerable potential for expanding it, but that would require modernization of the transport systems in
the region’s countries, particularly in Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia. Regional physical
integration efforts were very important, in particular the portfolio of projects making up the Initiative for the
Integration of Regional Infrastructure in South America (IIRSA), which was the technical forum of the
South American Infrastructure and Planning Council (COSIPLAN). Nevertheless, the projects in the
portfolio needed to be executed, which would require significant future investment.

24. A representative of Paraguay said that his country and the Plurinational State of Bolivia were in
an enviable position because of the wealth of their natural resources but said that the productive sectors
needed better connections to access ports on the coast, especially on the Atlantic, in order to be
competitive. There were various congestion points in Paraguay, caused for example by inadequate bridge
capacity at border crossing points and a lack of paved roads between his country and the Plurinational
State of Bolivia. He also mentioned depth issues in Atlantic access ports and highlighted the importance
of enhancing Paraguay’s integration with the Plurinational State of Bolivia and towards the Pacific.

25. He then mentioned the transport policy associated with the transport flow system and the existing
agreements with transit countries: the International Road Transport Agreement and subgroup number five
of the Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR) (SGT5), dedicated to regulatory and integration
processes for cargo flow facilitation. Paraguay was working on vehicle inspections, civil liability, the
transportation of dangerous goods, and truck weight and emissions.
26. With regard to the Master Transport Plan and the National Logistics Plan of Paraguay, he said that although the Master Plan was generating an infrastructure matrix in which an efficient supply would lead to lower costs, the National Logistics Plan sought, over and above cost cutting, to attract value to the hinterland in a way that would have a favourable impact on economic development and growth.

27. He described the impact of the ban on transporting transgenic soybeans through Paraná state in Brazil on Paraguay’s modal use of international transportation. It was also important to promote development of the rail system. With regard to the Master Transport Plan, US$ 5.3 billion would be invested in infrastructure development over the following 10 years, a large share of which would come from the private sector. Paraguay had a law on public-private partnerships, a concessions law and a procurement law. The regulatory system would require reform, in order to establish cross-sectional coordination within the State and ensure that efficient investments were made.

28. Referring to product competitiveness challenges arising from the freight density/value ratio, he recommended that further efforts should be made to analyse logistics costs and cost overruns in his country’s logistics chains. Although numerous export facilitation mechanisms had been implemented, such as single exports and imports windows, secure trade standards and container control programmes, the performance of the mechanisms still required attention.

29. Lastly, he said that while the recent economic performance had been good, it needed to be accompanied by effective State policies, since operator or market development without appropriate regulation often led to significant lags. The establishment of private ports in the metropolitan region of Asunción had caused problems, and better coordination was needed between the different actors in logistics chains.

30. The representatives of the transit countries present at the meeting (Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Peru) agreed that planning was a vital long-term policy tool and that there was a need to develop integrated and complementary infrastructure, transport and logistics policies. They described their countries’ experiences in that area, but said that efforts needed to be intensified.

31. The representative of Brazil thanked the meeting’s participants and in particular, the representatives of Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia for their presentations. He said that the existing cooperation must be intensified and agreed that the Initiative for the Integration of Regional Infrastructure in South America (IIRSA), now part of COSIPLAN, was important. There were 31 priority projects (API), 13 of which were directly benefiting Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia. He also emphasized the importance of the Paraguay-Paraná Waterway and the need to further incorporate the regulations of the Intergovernmental Committee on the Waterway (CIH).

32. He then referred to the Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR), in particular the transport subgroup, and said that the Plurinational State of Bolivia was in the process of joining the group. He talked about the financial dimension and regional efforts within the bloc, in particular the MERCOSUR Structural Convergence Fund and the recently inaugurated transmission line between Itaipú and Asunción.

33. The representative of Chile thanked the meeting organizers and said that collaboration, dialogue and cooperation with landlocked countries were very important for her country. The South American Infrastructure and Planning Council (COSIPLAN) and the Initiative for the Integration of Regional Infrastructure in South America (IIRSA) were crucial, as they had drawn attention to regional bilateral and multilateral connectivity needs and the processes that would have to be incorporated in the interface
with physical connectivity. Transit policies should include key actors, and the International Road Transport Agreement (ATIT) was also important, as were integrated systems for border checks and customs cooperation. They were essential for non-discriminatory and truly efficient functioning, whereby unilateral national policies did not take precedence over the common vision.

34. She requested that cooperation efforts between landlocked and transit countries being pursued through the ATIT be redoubled, and that they focus on modernizing certain aspects of the Agreement.

35. The representative of Chile said that geography posed huge challenges for the development of infrastructure in general and border infrastructure in particular (owing to the high altitude and the need to cross the Andes mountains) and the considerable costs involved, in relation to power connectivity and basic services. As an example, she mentioned the unified border control agreements that Chile had signed with Argentina, Peru and Plurinational State of Bolivia, which called for investments to upgrade infrastructure for purposes of integration. Her country was very interested in establishing unified border controls and furthering current efforts with its three neighbours and mentioned in particular the planned investments in Chungará-Tambo Quemado on the Chilean-Bolivian border.

36. The representative expressed regret that infrastructure development was lagging behind growth in trade. She mentioned the free long-term storage systems, which could be implemented much more effectively if countries worked together to adopt more modern methodologies, and stressed Chile’s interest in making headway on that subject with the landlocked countries.

37. She commented on the document submitted by ECLAC, stressing the need to supplement and update data and some findings, in particular with respect to the status of the rail network in Chile and to specify the cost structure attributed in the study to wait times at the border and at transhipment points. Chile was working with the Plurinational State of Bolivia to restore the rail network connecting the two countries. She requested that in-depth analyses should be carried out on logistics cost overruns to determine where in the chain the cost overruns were being generated and who was responsible for them. She explained that prior to shipment out of Chilean ports, minerals were stocked free of charge over long periods; indeed, Chile provided storage services free of charge for Bolivian cargo under the free transit regime.

38. The representative of the Ministry of Transport of Chile commended the efforts made by ECLAC and expressed his appreciation for the assessments presented by the delegations of Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia on the transit situation. He recalled that a normative framework had been agreed upon in the International Road Transport Agreement, signed multilaterally by all the Southern Cone countries. Changes in line with technological advances could be made, which would make it possible to eliminate some of the more bureaucratic problems at the meeting. Within the framework of a future agreement between Argentina and Chile, provision should be made for investment in information and communications technologies (ICTs), especially in more remote areas such as the southern regions of those two countries. The aim was to cut down on bureaucracy and to make the transporter alone responsible for carrying the documentation. Web-based systems reduced waiting times. It was important to collaborate on compliance with phytosanitary regulations.

39. The representative of Chile further explained that investments in technology were the most relevant in the short term and were being applied for example in the electronic permit for closed transport circuits (Arica and Punta Arenas). A supplementary electronic permit could reduce the usual processing time of 60-90 days to just a few days.
In response to questions as to possible solutions, Chile stressed that it was important not to insist on the presence of a legal agent to handle compliance with phytosanitary and zoosanitary regulations in the other country.

Chile had signed an agreement with Brazil for integrating customs and phytosanitary databases to facilitate transport and traffic checks. Peru and Chile had run a training programme for public officials, which included at least 10 unified controls to facilitate transportation between the two countries. It was important to integrate information technology and use electronic media to facilitate the procedures, because that was a way to reduce logistics chain costs.

A representative of Paraguay highlighted the need to generate knowledge on logistics costs and their impact on development. On that matter, he requested support in the form of courses or training from ECLAC, UNCTAD and the Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States.

He said that more information was needed regarding successful experiences of simplifying customs procedures and he suggested that ECLAC should compile those experiences and find a way to promote their implementation in other countries. With regard to the future programme, there was already a solid basis for working towards more concrete measures that would benefit landlocked countries.

A representative of Paraguay highlighted the transit policy. Landlocked countries required differential treatment from financial bodies when it came to financing infrastructure and other projects, and in dealing with the World Trade Organization and trade facilitation, which should be considered in the Plan of Action. The fibre-optic ring would also be very important, as discussed by COSIPLAN in 2011, and would serve to improve and lower the cost of connections in South America.

The second plenary session was chaired by Gordon Wilmsmeier, Economic Affairs Officer in the Natural Resources and Infrastructure Division of ECLAC.

Under the above agenda item, the participants examined the challenges facing landlocked developing countries in Latin America, including how to lessen their vulnerability to external factors such as rising and volatile food and fuel prices and financial and economic crises; how to deal with the consequences of climate change; and how to reduce poverty and social and economic inequalities. Regional experts in infrastructure, transport, logistics and trade facilitation formulated recommendations to guide landlocked developing countries in Latin America, to help them tackle those challenges and achieve sustainable and inclusive development.

A representative of the National Telecommunications Commission (CONATEL) of Paraguay referred to an ECLAC study from February 2012, which concluded that the cost impact of international Internet connectivity for countries such as Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, envisaged for border crossing points, for access at two megabytes per second, represented approximately 40% of the final price. He said that at the World Conference on International Telecommunications (WCIT), held in Dubai in December 2012, a resolution had been adopted on special measures for landlocked developing countries and small island developing States, with a view to promoting better access to the international fibre-optic network. In November 2011, COSIPLAN had set up the working group on
telecommunications for the establishment of a South American fibre-optic ring, and requested that the subject should be included in the Almaty Programme of Action.

48. The representative of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) reiterated that support was available from his organization for landlocked countries and agreed with the representative of Paraguay that it was important to know logistics costs. He said that while transport was essentially concerned with moving freight, the logistics chain comprised an entire series of prior, intermediary and subsequent steps, with the result that pre-shipment stages corresponded to a logistics rather than a transport cost.

49. He said that UNCTAD offered workshops and invited the countries’ transport and logistics operators to explain how they worked from a business and public administration point of view. The World Bank’s Regional Freight Logistics Observatory could contribute to operator training, and it was possible to generate knowledge by institutionalizing data collection.

50. He also referred to Uruguay’s Logistics Observatory and to Paraguay’s efforts along the same lines. The Logistics Observatory was a body that institutionalized the “generation of knowledge of information”, rather than information itself. He also mentioned the joint efforts undertaken by UNCTAD and the World Bank in Africa. He described the technological options for providing information to border crossing points in advance, so that not only customs officers, but also the police, migration authorities and environmental agencies could have prior access to the necessary information on a vehicle's movements and its cargo. He also presented the “corridor” concept, which was being implemented in Africa.

51. In the comments that followed, delegations focused on the specific needs of landlocked developing countries.

Closing session

52. During the closing session, statements were made by the Deputy Executive Secretary of ECLAC; the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States; and the representative of Paraguay.

53. The representative of Paraguay, in his capacity as Chair, summed up the main themes dealt with by the meeting. His summary may be found in annex 1.
Drawing on the document prepared by ECLAC, which served as the basis for our discussions, in addition to the statements made by the countries and agencies that participated in the event, I have summarized what I consider to be some of the key points made during our meeting:

- Based on the analysis of the situation in South America, on the studies carried out by ECLAC, and on the report presented by the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States to the sixty-eighth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations, landlocked developing countries have made headway since the adoption of the Almaty Programme of Action, as reflected in most of the indicators considered. Nevertheless, progress has been insufficient and countries continue to be affected by the problems associated with their landlocked status, while cooperation with transit countries must be furthered;

- Both Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia have made strides in various areas of development, particularly in relation to their connectivity and their customs procedures, while social conditions have improved significantly;

- However, it is also recognized that these countries, as well as other landlocked developing countries, are extremely vulnerable because they export a limited number of products with low value added. Greater production diversification is thus needed, for which countries must have effective market access;

- Freedom of movement continues to be a major factor in the logistics and trade performance of landlocked developing countries;

- Without a coastline, it is difficult for these countries to participate fully in international trade, and their comparative advantages are accordingly diminished. There is data to suggest that economic development in landlocked developing countries is, on average, 20% lower than it would have been with a coastline;

- Thus, there is a need to enhance the special and differential treatment for landlocked developing countries in the different spheres of negotiation;

- It was reiterated that their landlocked status, together with their remoteness and isolation from major international markets, leaves these countries heavily dependent on transit countries for maritime trade and generates cost overruns in their commercial transactions. The statistics presented showed that transport costs in landlocked developing countries exceed those of a representative coastal economy by 45%;
• The role of regional integration mechanisms in trade facilitation and physical integration was thus highlighted. The meeting participants proposed to deepen the regional integration process and work towards common logistics and mobility policies, promoting forums for joint action by the public and private sectors as well as their proper subregional coordination;

• There was a need to update bilateral and regional legal instruments, with a view to improving their provisions;

• Studies also show that a considerable proportion of the cost of commercial operations relates to intangible infrastructure: cross-border procedures, policies, legislation, transit procedures, logistics and regulatory regimes;

• Another problem affecting landlocked countries is the stress placed upon their infrastructure as a result of infrastructure and services shortfalls, as shown by the infrastructure gap;

• The possibility of using public-private financing to address the shortfall of investment in physical infrastructure, by exploring the potential advantages in more detail, was another of the points raised;

• The need to place emphasis on integrating the main points of entry (airports, ports, etc.) with the hinterlands was discussed;

• The participants also proposed to work together on improving the regulations governing transit traffic to and from landlocked developing countries and improving the operational and bureaucratic practices at border crossing points;

• More effort should be made to raise awareness of logistics costs and their impact on development;

• Information could potentially be shared regarding best practices introduced by countries in their bilateral relations and which affect the import, export and transit of goods;

• The studies showed that the services sector, particularly tourism, needed to be developed as a way of diversifying the economy;

• Another aspect mentioned was connectivity, which could be boosted through greater access to information technologies and international fibre-optic networks.

This summary reflects, under the responsibility of the Chair, the main points dealt with at the meeting.